Scott. II-2 7—23,006 —24-12-3

(1933) Department.

M SERIES.

G.O. No. 849

DATED 12 Oct 1933

[Despatch Abstract.]

Education **DEPARTMENT.**

Received ..... 19 . *Registered* 19

Subject.

Transfer of provision.

ENCLOSURES.

.....

.....

.....

A.C. No. 1826 2/32

Station Madras, *Dated the* 1st February 19 19

From (Name) ... Smith, Esq., M.A.,

(Designation) ... Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Law and Education Department.

Sir,

Budubalu out of the provision thus set free. Since there is a Board school at Kunagahalli accessible to all communities including Adi Dravidas, the place will not be deprived of educational facilities by the transfer. The school at Kunagahalli was closed on 6-4-32 and that at Budubalu was opened on 8-4-32. Budubalu the place to which the school has been transferred is reported to have a population of 539 with 125 pupils of school age. The transfer of provision applied for is recommended.

2. As per G.O.No. 62 L.E., dated 15th January 1932 previous sanction of Government is necessary for the transfer of provision. Since in this case transfer of provision has been effected without the previous sanction of Government, recovery has to be made for the period beginning from the date of closure of the school at Kunagahalli till the date of approval by Govt. of the transfer of provision. As regards recovery of subsidy, information is not available as to the amount of subsidy sanctioned to the school. G.O.No. 660 Education dated 20-7-1912 does not specify any amount for individual schools or teachers. The President, Taluk Board is not in a position to furnish any information in this regard and in the circumstances I request that Government will be pleased to ~~xxxx~~ to fix the subsidy to be recovered from the records if any available with them.

I have the honour to be.
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

P.V.S. 4/2

5

(P. 30.

Local Fund **DEPARTMENT.**



Received ..... 19 . *Registered* 19

3-SEP 193

Subject.

Elementary School -(Adi-Dravida) Kunagahalli(Kollegal Taluk) Transfer of provision to Budubalu (Kollegal Taluk) - sanction requested.

ENCLOSURES.
No. 2
Spare copies.....

R.O.C. No.275-sub/K.T.B.of 1932.

Station Kollegal. *Dated the* 19th August 1932.

From (Name) M.R.Ry.D.M. Basappa Avl.,

(Designation) Vice-President in charge Taluk Board Kollegal.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Through Law (Education) Department
The Director of Public-Instruction,
MADRAS.

Sir,

The Board Adi-Dravida school, Kunagahalli was recommended to be closed by the Deputy Inspector of schools, Kollegal and the District Educational Officer, Coimbatore as the strength did not justify the existance of the school, and its provision transferred for the opening of a Board Elementary school at Budabalu. (Copies of letters R.O.C.No.18/31 dated 1-12-31 and 11-2-32 of the Deputy Inspector of schools are enclosed). The Taluk Board in its Resolution No.327 dated 21-3-32 (copy enclosed) accepted the recommendation with effect from 1-4-1932. After effect was given to the Taluk Board resolution, the Deputy Inspector of schools reported

that sanction of Government was necessary with reference to G.O.No.62 L.E. dated 16-1-32 for the transfer of provision as one school at Kunagahalli was opened out of provincial subsidy sanctioned in G.O.No.660 Edn. dated 20-7-1912. This school was opened in 1912-13 and there is no record in this office to show the amount of provincial subsidy sanctioned to this school. The Director of Public Instruction also informed me in his letter R.O.C.No.1356-B/32 dated 8-8-32 that it is not known whether the school was opened out of provincial funds sanctioned in the Government Order quoted by the Deputy Inspector of schools.

2. The Government have ordered in G.O.No.62-L.E. dated 16-1-32 that sanction of Government should be obtained to utilize provincial subsidy for the opening of a school in a centre other than that originally approved or to divert the amount in any other manner. I presume that this order relates only to schools opened out of provincial subsidy since 1924-25. If my presumption is incorrect, I request you to kindly approve the action of the Kollegal Taluk Board in having transferred the provision Kunagahalli Adi-Dravida school to Budubalu, as per recommendation of the Educational authorities.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

24-8-32

Vice-President in charge
Taluk Board, Kollegal

AUG 25 1932
K. T. B.

Copy of letter R.O.S.F.No.18/31 dated 1-12-1931 from the Deputy Inspector of schools, Kollegal, Kollegal Range to this office.

In the report on the annual inspection of the Board Elementary school, for adi-dravidas at Kunagahalli, recommendations have been made that the school in question may be amalgamated with caste Hindu school in the locality as the Adi-Dravida school has practically ceased to exist.

The school was opened out of provincial funds sanctioned in G.O. No.660 duction dated 20-7-1912. The average attendance and strength of the school for the past six months are enclosed. The figures are likely to be an over statement of the actual state of affairs. But they show up the progressive deterioration of the school. Proposals for utilisation of the provision will follow.

	Average strength.								Average attendance.							
	I		II		III		Total.		I		II		III		Tot	
	B.	G.	B.	G.	B.	G.	B.	G.	B.	G.	B.	G.	B.	G.	B	
	8	2	7	2	3	-	18	4	6	2	6	1	3	-	1	
June ,,	8	2	7	2	3	-	13	4	4	1	5	-	2	-		
July ,,	13	10	7	2	3	-	23	12	10	3	5	1	3	-		
ugust ,,	12	4	7	1	3	-	22	5	5	2	4	-	2	-		
eptember ,,	11	4	5	-	3	.	19	4	3	2	2	-	2			
Octr. ,,	13	4	5	-	3	-	21	4	4	2	2	-	1	2		
Total:-	65	26	38	7	18	-	121	33	34	17	24	2	13			
Average-	11	4	6	1	3	-	20	5	6	3	4	-	2			

(True copy)

(Sd) x x

Dt. Educational Officer,
Coimbatore and the V

/True Copy/

Copy of letter R.O.C.18/S.F.of 1931 dated 11-2-32 from the Deputy Inspector of schools, Kollegal, to the District Educational Officer, Coimbatore.

In continuation of this office R.O.C.No.18/S.F.of dated 1-12-1931, I have the honour to submit the following proposals for utilising the provision that will be realised in the event of closure of the Board Adi-Dravida school, Kunagahalli.

A school might be opened at Budabalu. The mother village Budabalu and survey number is 53. The population of the centre is 537. The number of pupils of school going age of the centre are 61 boys and 64 girls. The neighbouring hamlet is Gundapura.

-2- 8b

But the school in the proposed centre cannot serve Gundapuram as Gundapuram is about two miles away. The nearest recognised school is at Mangalam. Mangalam is three miles ~~x~~away from Budibalu. No recognised aided school was working in the locality within the last three years. Private schools can still thrive there but there are no higher grade teachers, trained or untrained, who are prepared to open schools in such remote and malarial tracts. The villagers are enthusiastic at present about a school. The President, Taluk Board, Kollegal may be requested to utilise the provision that will be released by closing the Board Adi-Dravida school, Kunagahalli for opening a fresh school at Budibalu.

R.O.C.No.68-gl 31 dated 29-2-1932.

Forwarded to the President, Taluk Board, Kollegal and ~~x~~ recommended.

2. A copy of the letter R.O.C.No.13-S.F. dated 1-12-31 of the Deputy Inspector is enclosed.

(Sd) x x
District Education Officer,
Coimbatore and the
Nilgiris.

(True Copies)

20.8.32

KNR/20-8-32

Vice-President in charge.

DESPATCHED
26
AUG 29 1932
S. T. S.

1

Proceedings of the Kollegal Taluk Board at its meeting held on Monday the 21st March 1932 at 1 p.m.

Present.

1. M.R.Ry.G.P. Mallappa Avl.,President.
2. ,, D.M. Basappa Avl.,Vice-President.
3 ,, Kalappa Gounder Avl.,
4 ,, M.V. Mathappa Avl.,
5 ,, Veeratha Devaru Avl.,
6 ,, K. Narayana Chettiar Avl.,
7 ,, A.B. Chennaveera Chettiar Avl.,
8 ,, S. Prabhuswamy Avl.,
9 Janab Muhammad Khalandir Sahib Bahadur.

Nine members present including the Pre:

R e a d:-Letter of the Deputy Inspector of schools,Kollegal, proposing to close the Adi-Dravida school at Kunagahalli and utilizing its provision for the opening of an Elementary school at Budabalu.

Resolution No.327 dated 21-3-32

Sanctioned from 1st April 1932.

(Sd) G.P. Mallappa
President, Taluk Board

(True Extract)

20.8.32

Vice-President in charge,
Taluk Board, Kollegal.

KNR/19-8-32

DESPATCH
25
AUG 20 1932
K. T.

8

Enclosure

C. 666 A

72/13/2

Memo 646 A-1 13.2.33.

Subsidy – Taluk Board, Kollegal – Closure of the school in Kunagahalli – Letter from the Vice President in Camp No 295 – K.T.B of 1932 & 15.8.32 –

The D.T.B. Kollegal, is requested to furnish information on the following points :—

1) the number of teachers employed in the school in Kunagahalli in the year 1931-32

2) the pay drawn by each of the teachers.

and

3) the expenditure incurred in 1931-32 on rent and contingencies.

pages 3 & 4.

D.D. 1/2

To

The D.T.B. Kollegal

Copy to the DDI

10/2/33

11.2

Note There is nothing in

(84)

sir Ho Mo 660. Educ 20.7.12 (R)

to show how the sum of £20,100
placed at the disposal of the Bd
Board Cumberland was distri-
buted among the Bds last
when the schools were transferred
hereto from the Bds.
The information required to make
a start may therefore be
obtained first.

WJ-M-3?

W 39

C.F. 30.

Local Fund **DEPARTMENT.**

RE 1353 A

A

Received ..... 19 *Registered* 1 3 3 19 33

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION
1 MAR 1933
GOVT OF MADRAS
2 MAR 1933
EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Subject.

Subsidy - Taluk Board, Kollegal - closure of the school in Kunagahalli - Transfer to Budabalu.

The Board Adi-Dravida school, Kunagahalli was closed and its provision transferred to Budubalu, from 1st April 1932

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

For President, Taluk Board. 27/2/33

KNR/27-2-33

27.2.33

DESPAT
FEB 28 1933

12 ✓

Edn Dept

Pgs 1-2 ✓ C. 646 A - From the D.P.I. Rc. No 18268 dt 2.2.33.

—

Pg 3 ✓ Memo No 646A-1 dt 13.2.33

—

Pgs 10-11 ✓ C. 1353 A - From the T.B. Kottigal, R.C. No 205/K-TB/32 dt 26.2.33

—

Subject Transfer of subsidy from one school to another

—

From the figures furnished by the President it is observed that an expenditure at the rate of Rs 25-8-0 a month was incurred on the Adi-Dravida school at Kunagahalli in 1931-32. In the case of new schools the subsidy sanctioned is Rs 25 p.m. as follows for a trained teacher (Rs 15 p.m. for untrained teachers) and Rs 6 p.m. for rent and contingencies or a total subsidy of Rs 25 p.m. This amount may be adopted in this case in fixing the sum to be received.

2 In regards the Director's

X V

suggn. that the recovery may be 2 cf
restricted to the period from the
date of closure of the school to the
date of application for transfer of subsidy. It seems
hard in this case to adopt
this procedure. The Taluk Board
had no record to show that
the school was subsidised from
provincial funds. It seems also to
have held a doubt whether
Govt. Order (Edl) 15 Dec 32 applies
to schools opened prior to 1924-25
The first intimation it had
that the sanction of Govt
was necessary was from the
D. D. Dept. in April 1932 among the
first cases the case of the school was raised from
provincial funds was brought to its notice earlier.
The T.B. passed a resolution
approving of the transfer, on
21. 3. 32, and there was some
correspondence between the
Board and the D. P. I. till August.
The D. P. I. is said to have informed
the Board on 8. 8. 32 that it
was not known whether the
school was opened out of
provincial subsidy. The Board
addressed the DPI on 19. 8. 32

Please see notes on pages 8-9 cf.

Mem p. 4 cf

(D)

It has been recently held that the G.O. applies to such cases

p. 3 cf

p. 5 cf

p. 7 cf

p. 4 cf

This, therefore, tend to penalise the Board by withholding subsidy for nearly a year, (the decision to address not being only in Feby 1933) from 1.4 to 1.4.33 (roughly). In a similar case the D.S. has suggested recovery for a period of three months. This Dept would think that in this case also a same course may be adopted.

3. The file may be sent to Finance Dept for remarks.

28/3

28/3/33

I think it would undoubtedly be hard to deprive this Board of the subsidy for this school for a whole year. At the same time the Board was at fault in not paying any regard to the G.O of January 1932, or if they felt a doubt whether that G.O applied to this case, in making no reference to Govt or the matter subject before sanctioning the transfer of the school.

I think it would be reasonable to recover the subsidy for 3 months only: although it does not appear that it has not been entirely the fault of the T.B. that the matter has not been finally settled even now.

25.3.

Sir

(Govt before T.B.)
(Proposal since accepted by T.B.)

28 MAR 1933
FINANCE DEPT.
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

4. 15

Finance (Exp)

The Board Adi Dravida school at Kunagahalli was opened out of the subsidy sanctioned in G.O. No. 660 Law (Edn) dated 20-7-1912. (R) The subsidy for the school therefore forms part of the non-recurring subsidy given to the Taluk Board under the proviso to section 37 of the Elementary Education Act. Law (Edn) may first be requested to note whether it will be in order to reduce the amount of the statutory subsidy payable to the Taluk Board sanctioned for this school.

Kg 7.4.33.

JG 7.4.33.

BM 11.4.33

Law to Education Deptt. Law No. 9492 Exp/1 12-4-33

Attention is solicited to the note on pages 7-9 of G.O. No. 611 Education, dt. 27.4.32, where the question of ~~was effecting~~ whether recoveries may be effected from subsidies sanctioned to local bodies towards the cost of maintenance of elementary schools opened prior to 1921 has been was raised and discussed. Recoveries of a similar nature are being made in a number of cases. The file may be returned to the Finance Deptt. up

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS 12 APR 1933 LAW DEPARTMENT

LE. 2030 A 12.4.33.

12272 Gp.

Kg 26.4.33 JG

Govt 26.4 fin.

FINANCE SECRETARY 26 APR 1933 GOVT. OF MADRAS

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 28 APR 1933 FINANCE DEPT.

G.O. No. 1265 A/33-2 26-4-33

Finance (Exch.)

(Please see the notes on P. 4 abo
This deptt. may agree
the proposal of Dy. Secy, Laws, at
K on page 3 above.

29.4.33.

29.4.33

Din no 12272 Exch
1.5.33

LE 24 33A
8.5.33

GOVERNMENT OF MALTA
2 MAY 1933
LAW DEPARTMENT

Law 10.

Education Deptt

A draft is submitted
below for approval.

8.5.33.

10.5.

12 MAY 1933
LAW DEPART

17

Sectt. II-5 (a)

Education DEPARTMENT.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for Fair-copying.		
When copied ..		
When examined ..		
When signed ..		
When despatched ..		

Subsidy

Taluk Board, Kollegal

Transfer of provision of subsidy for an elementary school - Approved - Recovery of subsidy - Ordered.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. ....., dated 19

" " " " ..... " 19

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 6484 From the D.T.B., Kollegal, No. 275 Sub/K.T.B. 32, dated 19.8. 1932

" " Endt. of " the D.P.I., No. 18263/32, " 2.2. 1933

" " ..... " " ..... " ..... " 19

ORDER.

MS

No. 849, dated 12.5. 1933

The Govt. approve of the proposal of the Taluk Board, Kollegal, that the Board subsidy sanctioned for the Board Adi-Dravida school at Kunagahalle should be utilised for the opening of a new school at Budubalu.

2. As the Taluk Board failed to obtain the previous sanction of the Govt. for the transfer of ~~the subsidy for the school~~ provision of subsidy for the school at Kunagahalle, the subsidy drawn for the period from the

18

ete of clause [illegible] Adi Dravida
chool to, the date of Govt. sanctioning the grant should be
received from the Taluk Board. If
but the Govt direct that
should be received till the 25th
November 1932, a date three months subsequent to
the date on which the application to the Govt
relation was dispatched to Govt. The amount to be
received after taking into
count the percentage
s ordered in Govt. No. 153
neation, dt. 3.2.33, is Rs 185/-
as detailed in the margin.
As details of subsidy late not available,
making subsidy Rs 2519 for 1932
with Rs for house rent [illegible]
has been adopted for calculation).
The President is requested to
efund this amount with Govt
ecommend to an early date and
report the fact to Govt.

The waiver of [illegible] delay
[illegible] of clause [illegible]
[illegible] of relevant

To,

The P.T.B, Vellegal
The D.P.I.
The Exr of L.F.A.

* Making subsidy in Rs 2
Period during
which subsidy claim
be received is from
8.4.32 to 25.11.32
& the amount to be
received is Rs 18
deduct percentage at Rs 4
Net amount to be
received Rs 18

Dpl by Van
Dpl. in-charge
Date 15/5/33